

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
10

11 FREDERIC GREEN,

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

14 BILL DONAT, *et al.*,

15 Respondents.  
16

)  
)  
) 3:07-cv-00362-RLH-WGC  
)  
)  
)

**ORDER**

17 On January 9, 2015, petitioner filed a document styled as a “Motion to Disregard DK #93  
18 Notice of Appeal and Motion for Rule 60(b).” ECF No. 94. With this motion, petitioner explains  
19 that the notice of appeal and the Rule 60(b) motion he filed on December 24, 2014 (i.e., ECF Nos. 92  
20 and 93), were prompted by his mistaken belief that the document docketed by the court clerk on  
21 February 24, 2014 (ECF No. 91), represented an adverse decision by the court, when, in fact, it is the  
22 petitioner’s traverse.

23 The court shall construe petitioner’s motion as a motion to strike and, good cause appearing,  
24 shall grant it.

25 \\\

26

Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015.

2